



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/675,349	09/30/2003	William E. Mazzara JR.	GP-304028 2760/134 5776	
75	90 07/13/2005		EXAM	INER
General Motor		PHUONG, DAI		
Legal Staff, Ma	il Code 482-C23-B21			
300 Renaissance	e Center	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 300		2685		
Detroit, MI 48	3265-3000	DATE MAILED: 07/13/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/675,349	MAZZARA, WILLIAM E.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dai A. Phuong	2685				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tiled by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. It the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 s	September 2003.	•				
<u> </u>	s action is non-final.					
<i>;</i> —						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		·				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 30 September 2003 is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat onty documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail D					

Application/Control Number: 10/675,349

Art Unit: 2685

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-2, 5-10, 12-14 and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lemelson et al. (U.S. 6,487,500) in view of Myr (Pub. No: 2001/0029425).

Regarding claim 1, Lemelson et al. disclose method for responding to digital vehicle requests, the method comprising: receiving a voice query by a telematics unit, wherein the telematics unit comprises at least one analog digital converter (col. 21, lines 11-16); converting the voice query to a compressed digital signal (col. 21, lines 11-16); transmitting the signal to a call center node 12 in communication with an information database via a wireless network (fig. 1, col. 21, lines 11-16). But, Lemelson et al. disclose method for responding to digital vehicle requests, the method comprising: parsing the signal at the call center node to determine an inquiry; accessing the information database based on the inquiry; formulating at least one response to the inquiry; transmitting the at least one formulated response in a digital format over the wireless network to the telematics unit; and translating the at least one formulated response to an analog format at the at least one analog digital converter.

Art Unit: 2685

In the same field of endeavor, Myr discloses method for responding to digital vehicle requests, the method comprising: parsing the signal at the call center node to determine an inquiry ([0062]. Specifically, Myr discloses on receiving a navigation query (Unit 11) from a GSM Network driver/subscriber for a shortest route to a particular destination); accessing the information database based on the inquiry ([0062]. Specifically, Myr discloses the CTU applies an optimization procedure for computing an optimal route while making use of updated travel times for individual sections of roads) formulating at least one response to the inquiry ([0062]. Specifically, Myr discloses the optimal route is communicated to the MGU (Unit 10) via Internet /WAP protocol); transmitting the at least one formulated response in a digital format over the wireless network to the telematics unit ([0062]. Specifically, Myr discloses the optimal route is communicated to the MGU (Unit 10) via Internet /WAP protocol (Unit 8) and presented to the driver visually on the MGUs monitor (Unit 9) configuration in digital map format); and translating the at least one formulated response to an analog format at the at least one analog digital converter ([0062]. Specifically, Myr discloses the optimal route is communicated to the MGU (Unit 10) via Internet /WAP protocol (Unit 8) and presented to the driver visually on the MGUs monitor (Unit 9) configuration in digital map format, and/or in audio form through a sequence of voice instructions to GSM mobile clients).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the vehicle control and warning system of Lemelson et al. by specifically including parsing the signal at the call center node to

determine an inquiry; accessing the information database based on the inquiry; formulating at least one response to the inquiry; transmitting the at least one formulated response in a digital format over the wireless network to the telematics unit; and translating the at least one formulated response to an analog format at the at least one analog digital converter, as taught by Myr, the motivation being in order to provide a real time travel guidance system capable of handling a driver's request for a fastest route to any destination.

Regarding claim 2, the combination of Lemelson et al. and Myr disclose all the limitation in claim 1. Further, Myr discloses the method further comprising: optimizing the telematics unit for transmission of the voice query to a computer call center node ([0112]).

Regarding claim 5, the combination of Lemelson et al. and Myr disclose all the limitation in claim 1. Further, Myr discloses the method further comprising: transmitting the signal to the call center using a packet data connection ([0101]).

Regarding claim 6, the combination of Lemelson et al. and Myr disclose all the limitation in claim 1. Further, Myr disclose the method wherein transmitting the at least one formulated response in a digital format over the wireless network to the telematics unit comprises: transmitting the at least one formulated response in a digital streaming audio format ([0101]. Specifically, Myr discloses the navigation directions will be also be returned via TCP/IP protocol in form of digital map and driving Text/Voice instructions).

1.

2.

Art Unit: 2685

Regarding claim 7, the combination of Lemelson et al. and Myr disclose all the limitation in claim 1. Further, Lemelson et al. disclose the method wherein the analog digital converter further comprises a reversible digital analog converter (col. 21, lines 30-34).

Regarding claim 8, the combination of Lemelson et al. and Myr disclose all the limitation in claim 1. Further, Myr disclose the method wherein transmitting information via the wireless network further comprises transmitting information via an Internet protocol ([0062]).

Regarding claim 9, this claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 1. However, the system includes the necessary software, hardware, firmware or a combination thereof to accomplish the stated task or functionality.

Regarding claim 10, this claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim However, the system includes the necessary software, hardware, firmware or a combination thereof to accomplish the stated task or functionality.

Regarding claim 12, this claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim However, the system includes the necessary software, hardware, firmware or a combination thereof to accomplish the stated task or functionality.

Regarding claim 13, this claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim

Regarding claim 14, this claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim

Regarding claim 17, this claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 5.

Regarding claim 18, this claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 6.

Regarding claim 19, this claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 7.

Regarding claim 20, this claim is rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 8.

Claims 3 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 3. Lemelson et al. (U.S. 6,487,500) in view of Myr (Pub. No: 2001/0029425) and further in view of Gladwin et al. (Pub. No: 2005/0003812).

Regarding claim 3, the combination of Lemelson et al. and Myr disclose all the limitation in claim 2. But, combination of Lemelson et al. and Myr do not disclose the method further comprising: filtering the received voice query before converting it to the digital signal.

In the same field of endeavor, Gladwin et al. disclose the method further comprising: filtering the received voice query before converting it to the digital signal (0370]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the vehicle control and warning system of the combination of Lemelson et al. and Myr by specifically including filtering the received voice query before converting it to the digital signal, as taught by Gladwin et al., the motivation being in order to support multi media application features running on the server and wireless device.

Regarding claim 15, this claim is rejected for the same reason as set for in claim 3.

4. Claims 4, 11 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lemelson et al. (U.S. 6,487,500) in view of Myr (Pub. No: 2001/0029425) and further in view of Chan (U.S. 6,904,270).

Regarding claim 4, the combination of Lemelson et al. and Myr disclose all the limitation in claim 2. But, combination of Lemelson et al. and Myr do not disclose the method further comprising: compressing the voice query digital signal at the telematics unit.

In the same field of endeavor, Chan discloses the method further comprising: compressing the voice query digital signal at the telematics unit (col. 5, lines 4-17).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the vehicle control and warning system of the combination of Lemelson et al. and Myr by specifically including compressing the voice query digital signal at the telematics unit, as taught by Chan, the motivation being in order to reduce the bandwidth demand.

Application/Control Number: 10/675,349

Art Unit: 2685

Regarding claim 11, this claim is rejected for the same reason as set for in claim

Page 8

4. However, the system includes the necessary software, hardware, firmware or a combination thereof to accomplish the stated task or functionality.

Regarding claim 16, this claim is rejected for the same reason as set for in claim

4.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Harvey et al. (U.S. 6611739) remote bus diagnostic and control

Howell et al. (Pub. No: 20020115436) telematics system

Cohen et al. (U.S. 6741921) multi stage truck assignment system

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dai A Phuong whose telephone number is 571-272-7896. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, 9:00 A.M. to 5:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Urban can be reached on 703-305-4385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dai Phuong AU: 2685

Date: 07-07-2005

EDWARD F. URBAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2300